



C A No. Applied For  
Complaint No. 384/2023

In the matter of:

Salauddin .....Complainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power Limited .....Respondent

Quorum:

1. Mr. P.K. Singh, Chairman
2. Mr. Nishat A Alvi, Member (CRM)
3. Mr. P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)
4. Mr. S.R Khan, Member (Tech.)

Appearance:

1. Ms. Vandana Malik, Counsel of the complainant alongwith complainant
2. Ms. Ritu Gupta, Ms. Chhavi Rani & Mr. Akshat Aggarwal, on behalf of respondent

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 12<sup>th</sup> March, 2024  
Date of Order: 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024

Order Pronounced By:- P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)

1. The complaint has been filed by Mr. Salauddin against BYPL-Darya Ganj. The brief facts of the case giving rise to this grievance are that Mr. Salauddin applied for new electricity connection vide request no. 8006226576 at premises no. Q-6, GF, DDA flat, behind police bhawan, Turkman Gate, Delhi-110006, but respondent rejected the application

for  
Bhawan  
Attested True Copy

Secretary  
CGRF (BYPL)

1 of 3

Complaint No. 384/2023

of the complainant for new connection on the pretext of 'meter already exists'. The complainant also filed the case in PLA but same was disposed as withdrawn.

2. OP in its reply briefly stated that the complainant is seeking new electricity connection vide request no. 8006226576 for ground floor of property bearing no. Q-6, DDA flats, Behind Police Bhawan, Turkman Gate, Delhi under domestic category. The request of the complainant was auto-cancelled due to the fact that the DDA flat is already electrified through meter no. 70328284 and outstanding electricity dues.

Reply further stated that the complainant alongwith the complaint has placed on record one partition deed and claims that the subject property which is one DDA flat in an old DDA building which was allotted in 1982 to his father Late Sh. Mohd. Shafi. It is further claimed that in respect of the said flat partition has taken place vide partition deed dated 09.08.2021 as a consequence whereof the said flat is divided into three portions out of which one portion each fell into share of complainant and his two brothers. OP further added that DDA flat is a single unit which cannot be converted into three different units. The said flat being a single unit can have only one connection which already exists in the name of Mohd Ishrat, brother of the complainant having CA No. 153380268.

Counsel of the complainant in its rejoinder refuted the contentions of the respondent as averred in their reply. He also stated that he applied many times for release of new electricity connection but respondent rejected his applications for new connection, whereas the brother of the complainant Mohd Ishrat applied for new electricity connection at the same premises and OP released the new connection to Mohd Ishrat. Mohd Ishrat is owner of one shop in the same premises.

For  
Attested True Copy

Secretary  
CGRF (BYPL)

2 of 3

Complaint No. 384/2023

3. LR of the OP submitted that DDA flat as allotted by DDA is a single unit wherein therein only one electricity connection can be granted. The fact that each flat is allotted as a single unit which cannot be partitioned by the allottee or his successors.
4. Heard both the parties at length and perused the record.
5. This Forum is of the view that the complainant is seeking new electricity connection in a DDA flat, and as per building bye-laws DDA flat is a single dwelling unit which further cannot be subdivided. Thus DDA flats are considered as single dwelling unit and as per DERC Regulations 2017, only one electricity connection can be granted in a single dwelling unit.  
The complainant has also objected on the connection released in the name of Mohd Ishrat. The complainant claiming himself owner of the property in question and the connection in the name of Mohd Ishrat was released after the NOC of the complainant and if the complainant wants he can get the connection of Mohd Ishrat disconnected and get a new electricity connection installed in his name.
6. Therefore, we are of considered opinion, that the new connection application of the complainant is rightly rejected by OP.

ORDER

The complaint is rejected. The Respondent has rightly rejected the application of the complainant for new connection.

The case is disposed off as above.

No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly.

*for*  
*Shahid*

Attested *Shahid*  
NICHAT A ALVI  
MEMBER (CRM)

*by*  
(P.K. AGRAWAL)  
MEMBER (LEGAL)

*8/3/24*  
(S.R. KHAN)  
MEMBER (TECH.)

*Shahid*  
(P.K. SINGH)  
CHAIRMAN

Secretary  
CGRF (BYPL)